

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/876,270	06/06/2001	John Bunda	5226-01300	8812	
7590 09/21/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Eric B. Meyer			PATEL, JAGDISH		
CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P.O. Box 398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78767-0398		3693	-		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/876,270	BUNDA, JOHN			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		JAGDISH PATEL	3693			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMM 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation. In period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	UNICATION. lay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>06 June 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	∑ This action is non-final.				
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice of	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> are subject to restriction a	vithdrawn from consideration				
Applicati	on Papers			•		
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in able correction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	.948) Paper	riew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application :			

Application/Control Number: 09/876,270

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1, 7, 9-13, 16, 19, 23, 35,36, 38, 39, 40, 46 and 54-57 are generic claims and are included in each of the following inventions.

Invention I: Claims 2-4, 8, 24-26, 37 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities based upon a market center portion of the received quotes, which comprise a small order, exchange system.

Invention II: Claim 5, 27 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities based upon a common market center, a common price and a common trading direction.

Invention III: Claim 6, 28 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities wherein the received quotes combined comprise different market centers, a common price and a common trading direction.

Invention IV: Claim 14, 41 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities wherein displaying the combined quotes comprises automatically displaying the combined quotes to a user in real-time.

Invention V: Claims 15, 42 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities wherein displaying the combined quotes comprises automatically displaying the combined quotes within thirty minutes of receiving a quote from a second or subsequent market center for the common price and the common trading direction for the security.

Invention VI: Claims 16, 43 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities based upon receiving a quote in a first computer system and displaying the combined quotes on the first computer system.

Invention VII: claims 17, 18, 44, 45 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities based upon receiving a quote in a first computer system and displaying the combined quotes on a second computer system, wherein the first and the second computers are coupled over a computer network.

Art Unit: 3693

Invention VIII: claims 20, 21, 47-53 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities based upon **displaying the combined quotes based upon the user configuration data**.

Invention IX: claims 22, 29-31, 32-34 are drawn to a computer-implemented method for displaying information related to securities based upon ranking of market centers based upon the user preferences for trading.

Note that the examiner has grouped corresponding method and apparatus (or system) claims having common features.

Inventions I through IX are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, each subcombination recites a non obvious features, which are variants of the displaying combined quotes of a security, such features render the inventions of each of the subcombinations distinct and non-obvious over the other subcombinations as explained in the following examples.

subcombination I has separate utility such as displaying combined quotes based upon a market center portion of the received quotes, which comprise a small order exchange system. This subcombination is separately usable from each on of the subcombinations II-IX. For example, subcombination II is usable for displaying information related to securities wherein the received quotes combined comprise different market centers, a common price and a common trading direction. The feature of invention I is distinct and non-obvious from the feature of the invention II the received quotes comprise distinct features of the received quotes. Likewise, subcombination III is usable for displaying information related to securities wherein displaying information related to securities wherein the received quotes combined comprise different market centers, a common price and a common trading direction. This feature is a feature is a non obvious variant of the market portion of the received quotes different from those claimed in inventions I and II. subcombination I has separate utility such as automatically displaying the combined quotes to a user in real-time. This

feature is usable together with subcombinations I-III such as for automatically displaying the combined quotes in real-time.

The examiner has presented only exemplary analysis of the subcombinations I-IV and shown that features of each subcombinations are distinct and non-obvious over each other while usable together in a single combination of displaying combined quotes.

Inventions V-IX are like wise contain features, which are non-obvious variants of the features recited in each of the other inventions.

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3693

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

5. No telephone interview was conducted due to complexity of the restriction requirement and since the examiner knows from past experience that an election will not be made by telephone. (see MPEP 812.01)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748.

The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-630PM Mon-Tree and Three.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3693)

9/17/06